UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,124	08/16/2001	Takahiko Kishi	678-0724	3618
66547 7590 04/15/2008 THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C. 333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			PUENTE, EVA YI ZHENG	
	SUITE 701 UNIONDALE, NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/931,124	KISHI, TAKAHIKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EVA Y. PUENTE	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sound will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ To 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	his action is non-final.  wance except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 16-18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lrawn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least open content.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

# Request for Continued Examination

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. The request filed on February 19, 2008, for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/931,124 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/19/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner has thoroughly reviewed Applicant's arguments but firmly believes that the cited reference reasonably and properly meet the claimed limitation as rejected.

Applicant's argument – (1) Prior art Chalmers's two mixers 132 and 134 in Fig. 1 can not equate to the second mixer in claim 1. (2) It is not obvious to combine the teaching of Yasuda and Chalmers.

Examiner's response – (1) According to current application, the second mixer (205 in Fig. 1) is a quadrature converter, which comprises multiplier and frequency oscillator ([0031]). Prior art Chalmers has shown exactly such quadrature convert in Fig. 1, block 126,132 and 134. Therefore, Chalmers qualify and meet the claimed limitations. (2) Chalmers disclose all the subject matters except for the specific teaching of a decimation filter. However, Yasuda, disclose a receiver system comprises an analog decimation filter to suppress the signal which may turn out to be aliasing noise (105 in Fig. 3; Col 2, L40-47). It is advantages to implement an analog decimation filter

Art Unit: 2611

in a receiver, so that noise and unwanted signals are removed, and the quality of signal is improved. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to implement the analog decimation filter of Yasuada after the first mixer of Chalmers (106 in Fig. 1), and before the second mixer (126, 132 and 134). The signals are converted to digital signals after the second mixer in the receiver system of Chalmers.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: please define symbol t and f1(t) in the equation. Also equation appears to be typographical error in comparison with equation 4 in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalmers (US 5,375,146) in view of Yasuda (US 6,181,740), further in view of Poklemba (US 5,696,796).
- a) Regarding to claim 1, Chalmers disclose a digital down-converter for converting a frequency of a signal, received at a radio receiver and sampled with a radio frequency

(RF) or an intermediate frequency (IF), to a detection frequency for a detection process, comprising:

Page 4

a first mixer (106 in Fig. 1) for converting and outputting a frequency of the received signal to a frequency of a first IF signal by multiplying the signal by a real signal (since the current application is direct to local oscillator DDS 202, Chalmers's local oscillator has the same functionality as the current application); and

a second mixer for converting the frequency of the first IF signal having only wanted components outputted by the decimation filter to a second IF signal of the detection frequency, and outputting the second IF signal as a complex signal by multiplying the output of the decimation filter by a complex local signal by multiplying the output of the decimation filter by a complex local signal (126 and output of 132,134 as shown in Fig. 1).

Chalmers disclose all the subject matters described above except for the specific teaching of (1) a decimation filter and (2) a digital signal down converter; a first selector and a second selector.

However, (1) Yasuda., in the same field of endeavor, discloses a receiver system comprises an analog decimation filter to suppress the signal which may turn out to be aliasing noise (105 in Fig. 3; Col 2, L40-47). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to implement the analog decimation filter of Yasuada after the first mixer of Chalmers (106 in Fig. 1), and before the second mixer (126, 132 and 134). The signals are converted to digital signals after the second mixer in the receiver system of

Art Unit: 2611

Chalmers. By doing so, remove unwanted or undesired signal in a radio receiver

system.

complexity.

(2) Moreover, Poklemba, disclose a digital down converting system comprises A/D converter (204) output to a digital down converter (as shown in Fig. 6). And a first selector for cyclically selecting a multiplication value among cosine wave values of the local signal (206 and 208); and a second selector for cyclically selecting a multiplication value among sine wave values of the local signal (206 and 210; Col 5, L51-59; Though Poklemba does not explicitly describe two selectors, Poklemba sequentially selects from cosine (+I,0,-I,0) and sine (0,+Q,0,-Q) values (Fig. 4 and 6). The current application also sequentially selects from values of 1,0,-1,0 and 0,1,0,-1. Therefore, Poklemba implicitly teaches two selectors.). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to implement the sampling method of Poklemba in the receiver system of Chalmers and Yasuda. By doing so, provide no phase imbalance, I/Q crosstalk, and DC offsets in a digital downconverting system. In addition, the quadrature translation can be implemented through multiplication by cosines and sines whose sample values are (+1,0,-1,0) and (0,-1,0,-1), respectively (Col 1, L55-59). Therefore, reduce circuitry

- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalmers (US 5,375,146) in view of Poklemba (US 5,696,796).
- a) Regarding to claim 5, Chalmers disclose a receiver comprising:

a digital down-converter including a first mixer (106 in Fig. 1) for converting a frequency of the received signal, sampled with a radio frequency (RF) or an intermediate frequency (IF), to a frequency of a first IF signal by multiplying the signal by a real signal (120), and a second mixer (112 in Fig. 1) for converting the first IF signal converted by the first mixer to a second IF signal of the detection frequency for a detection process and then outputting the second IF signal as a complex signal by multiplying the first IF signal by a complex local signal (126 and output of 132,134 as shown in Fig. 1).

an RF unit (received signal as shown in Fig. 1) for receiving an input signal and providing the received signal to the digital down-converter for frequency conversion.

Chalmers disclose all the subject matters described above except for the specific teaching of the RF unit output to a third mixer; a filter for attenuating an aliasing frequency component and an image frequency component of the first mixer in the digital down-converter, from an output of the third mixer; an analog-to-digital converter for sampling an output of the filter with a radio frequency or an intermediate frequency and providing the sampled signal to the digital down-converter; and a first selector and a second selector in the second mixer.

However, Poklemba, disclose a digital down converting system comprises IF signal output to an anti-aliasing filter (202), A/D converter (204), and a digital down converter (as shown in Fig. 6). It is well known and common knowledge to implement a mixer for RF signal converting to IF signal. Thus, a third mixer (input to filter 202) is inherently taught. In addition, Poklemba disclose a first selector for cyclically selecting a

Application/Control Number: 09/931,124

Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

multiplication value among cosine wave values of the local signal (206 and 208); and a second selector for cyclically selecting a multiplication value among sine wave values of the local signal (206 and 210; Col 5, L51-59). Though Poklemba does not explicitly describe two selectors, Poklemba sequentially selects from cosine (+I,0,-I,0) and sine (0,+Q,0,-Q) values (Fig. 4 and 6). The current application also sequentially selects from values of 1,0,-1,0 and 0,1,0,-1. Therefore, Poklemba implicitly teaches two selectors. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to implement the sampling method of Poklemba in the receiver system of Chalmers. By doing so, provide no phase imbalance, I/Q crosstalk, and DC offsets in a digital downconverting system. In addition, the quadrature translation can be implemented through multiplication by cosines and sines whose sample values are (+1,0,-1,0) and (0,-1,0,-1), respectively (Col 1, L55-59). Therefore, reduce circuitry complexity.

- 5. Claims 2-4 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalmers (US 5,375,146) in view of Yasuda (US 6,181,740), further in view of Poklemba (US 5,696,796), and in further view of Ostman (US 6,061,385).
- a) Regarding to claim 2, Chalmers, Yasuda, and Poklemba disclose all of the subject matter described above except for the specific teaching of a frequency of the first IF signal is ¼ a sampling frequency.

Ostman, in same field of endeavor, teaches a received frequency modulated signal as shown in Fig. 1, where the intermediated frequency is a quarter of the sampling frequency (Col 4, L28-36).

Art Unit: 2611

To avoid complexity and extreme power consumption of the circuitry a well known method is to select the intermediate frequency to be a quarter of the sampling frequency (Ostman, Col 4, L28-35). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement quarter sampling method taught by Ostman in the frequency down conversion system by Chalmers. By doing so, provide simpler digital down converter design and more desirable result. Additionally, reduce power consumption, reduce cost, and simplify communication system design.

b) Regarding to claims 3 and 11, Chalmers discloses further comprising an automatic gain control (AGC) amplifier (110 in Fig. 1) for amplifying of the output of the first mixer and inputting the amplified output to the cosine part and the sine part of the second mixer, and

wherein the first and second selectors are connected to the output of the AGC (Chalmers in view of Poklemba).

- c) Regarding to claims 4 and 9, Chalmers discloses the digital down-converter, wherein the second mixer further comprises a multiplier for multiplying the output of the decimation filter by a certain ratio of a sampling frequency and a decoding means for decoding the multiplied signal through the multiplier (as shown in Fig. 1).
- d) Regarding to claim 10, the digital down-converter further comprises: wherein the first and second selectors are connected to the output of the decimation filter (Yasuda, and Poklemba).

Art Unit: 2611

e) Regarding to claims 12 and 13, Poklemba disclose wherein the first selector has multiplication values among cosine wave values as 1, 0, -1 and 0, outputs a multiplication result corresponding to a multiplication value 1, outputs a multiplication result corresponding to '-1' by inversion, and a multiplication result '0' corresponding to a multiplication value '0' (106 and 108 in Fig. 4; Col 5, L51-59).

- f) Regarding to claims 14 and 15, Poklemba disclose wherein the second selector has multiplication values among cosine wave values as 1, 0, -1 and 0, outputs a multiplication result corresponding to a multiplication value 1, outputs a multiplication result corresponding to '-1' by inversion, and a multiplication result '0' corresponding to a multiplication value '0' (106 and 108 in Fig. 4; Col 5, L51-59).
- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalmers (US 5,375,146) in view Poklemba (US 5,696,796), and in further view of Ostman (US 6,061,385).
- a) Regarding to claim 6, Chalmers and Poklemba disclose all of the subject matter described above except for the specific teaching of a frequency of the first IF signal is 1/4 a sampling frequency.

Ostman, in same field of endeavor, teaches a received frequency modulated signal as shown in Fig. 1, where the intermediated frequency is a quarter of the sampling frequency (Col 4, L28-36).

To avoid complexity and extreme power consumption of the circuitry a well known method is to select the intermediate frequency to be a quarter of the sampling

frequency (Ostman, Col 4, L28-35). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement quarter sampling method taught by Ostman in the frequency down conversion system by Chalmers. By doing so, provide simpler digital down converter design and more desirable result. Additionally, reduce power consumption, reduce cost, and simplify communication system design.

b) Regarding to claim 7, Chalmers discloses further comprising an automatic gain control (AGC) amplifier (110 in Fig. 1) for amplifying of the output of the first mixer and inputting the amplified output to the cosine part and the sine part of the second mixer, and

wherein the first and second selectors are connected to the output of the AGC (Chalmers in view of Poklemba).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 8 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 16-18 are allowed.
- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

None of the prior art teaches or suggests a digital down-converter as the current application. In specific, a radio receiver for comprises a first mixer for converting signal to a first IF signal and a second mixer for converting to a second IF signal; wherein the

second mixer comprises a selector for selecting and inverting signals from two decimation filters for suppressing an unwanted signal of respectively inputted signals.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eva Y Puente whose telephone number is 571-272-3049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2611

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eva Yi Puente /E. Y. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2611

April 10, 2008

/Chieh M Fan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611